

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,747	03/09/2004	Dorothea Zucker-Franklin	57953/1201	2516	
Michael L. Go	7590 03/21/200 ldman	8	EXAM	INER	
Nixon Peabody		KIM, SUN U			
Clinton Square P.O. Box 3105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, NY 14603-1051			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/21/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal	Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/796,747	ZUCKER-FRANKLIN, DOROTHEA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOHN KIM	1797		

	JOHN KIM		1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE	THIS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to application, applicant must timely file one of the folio application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an ame of Appeal (with appeal fee	endment, affidavit, e) in compliance w	or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places th (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing</li> </ul>	ng date of the final rejection.			
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date on no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7</li> </ul>	expire later than SIX MONTE (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BO	HS from the mailing of	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the periounder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. NOTICE OF APPEAL.	d of extension and the corre of the shortened statutory pose taler than three months a	esponding amount of eriod for reply origina	the fee. The appropria ally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) a
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in	compliance with 37 CEE	2 /11 37 must be file	ed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	y extension thereof (37 C	CFR 41.37(e)), to a	void dismissal of the	appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejer (a) They raise new issues that would require furting</li> </ol>	ner consideration and/or			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT)				
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or</li></ul>				ne issues for
<ul><li>(d) They present additional claims without cancel</li></ul>		nber of finally rejec	ted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3				
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF</li> </ol>		lotice of Non-Com	pliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	_		·	
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be rejected The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			pe entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final acti because applicant failed to provide a showing of go was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence faile showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily</li> </ol>	ed to overcome <u>all</u> rejecti essary and was not earli	ions under appeal er presented. See	and/or appellant fails 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explication of the reconsideration of the reconsiderat</li></ol>	anation of the status of th	ne claims after ent	ry is below or attache	ed.
11. Since request for reconsideration has been consider Applicant's arguments filed 2/22/08 have been full arisan would not have reasonably expected that I from breast milk for the reasons of high pressure is milk, larger size filter compared to injoble shield sight there is no doubt that the leuckcyte filter of Pall in lines 52-62. About parameter of feisert pressure.	y considered but they are the Pall filter could be use application due to blood ver, speculative reasoning eference that the filter re	e not persuasive. A ed with the Meyner with surface tension of virus cell remo moves leukocytes	Applicants argue that r nipple shield to filte ns higher CWST that val capability of the carrying HIV viruses	the skilled r leukocytes in that of breas filter. However (see col. 1,

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

leukocyte removal application of filter in a smaller nipple shield.

/John Kim/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080318